UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Karl W. Schenker,

Plaintiff

Case No.: 3:23-cv-00094-RCJ-CLB

v.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

C/O Garcia, et al.,

Defendant

Order

I. **DISCUSSION**

Plaintiff has applied to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") in this action. ECF No. 1. On September 15, 2023, the Court issued a screening order permitting First Amendment retaliation claims to proceed against Defendants Garcia, McManus, Jackson, and Henley, dismissing the 12 Equal Protection Clause claims with leave to amend, and dismissing some claims with prejudice. 13 ECF No. 5. In the Screening Order, the Court deferred ruling on Plaintiff's IFP application. ECF No. 5 at 1. The Court granted Plaintiff 30 days from the date of that order to file a second 15 amended complaint curing the deficiencies of the first amended complaint. *Id.* at 10. And the Court specifically stated that if Plaintiff chose not to file a second amended complaint, the action 17would proceed on the portion of claims 2 and 3 alleging First Amendment retaliation claims against Defendants Garcia, McManus, Jackson, and Henley only. Id. at 11. Plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint. Pursuant to the screening order, this action will proceed on the portion of claims 2 and 3 alleging First Amendment retaliation claims against Defendants Garcia, 21 McManus, Jackson, and Henley only.

22

23

II. CONCLUSION

1

2

4

5

11

13

17

18

19

21

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the Court's screening order (ECF No. 5), this action will proceed on the portion of claims 2 and 3 alleging First Amendment retaliation claims against Defendants Garcia, McManus, Jackson, and Henley only.

It is further ordered that given the nature of the claims that the Court has permitted to proceed, this action is stayed for 90 days to allow Plaintiff and Defendants an opportunity to settle their dispute before the Court will determine whether to grant Plaintiff's IFP application, the \$350 filing fee is paid, an answer is filed, or the discovery process begins. During this 90-day stay period and until the Court lifts the stay, no other pleadings or papers may be filed in this case, and the parties will not engage in any discovery, nor are the parties required to respond to any paper filed in violation of the stay unless specifically ordered by the Court to do so. The Court will refer this case to the Court's Inmate Early Mediation Program, and the Court will enter a subsequent order. Regardless, on or before 90 days from the date this order is entered, the Office of the Attorney General will file the report form attached to this order regarding the 15 results of the 90-day stay, even if a stipulation for dismissal is entered prior to the end of the 90day stay. If the parties proceed with this action, the Court will then issue an order setting a date for Defendants to file an answer or other response. Following the filing of an answer, the Court will issue a scheduling order setting discovery and dispositive motion deadlines.

It is further ordered that "settlement" may or may not include payment of money damages. It also may or may not include an agreement to resolve Plaintiff's issues differently. A compromise agreement is one in which neither party is completely satisfied with the result, but both have given something up and both have obtained something in return.

It is further ordered that if the case does not settle, then the Court will determine whether to grant Plaintiff's IFP application. Plaintiff will be required to pay the full \$350.00 statutory filing fee for a civil action regardless of whether the Court grants his IFP application. This fee cannot be waived, and the fee cannot be refunded once the Court enters an order granting Plaintiff's IFP application. If Plaintiff is allowed to proceed IFP, the fee will be paid in installments from his prison trust account. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). If Plaintiff is not allowed to proceed IFP, the full \$350 statutory filing fee for a civil action plus the \$52 administrative filing fee, for a total of \$402, will be due immediately.

It is further ordered that if any party seeks to have this case excluded from the inmate mediation program, that party will file a "motion to exclude case from mediation" no later than 21 days prior to the date set for mediation. The responding party will have 7 days to file a response. No reply will be filed. Thereafter, the Court will issue an order, set the matter for hearing, or both.

It is further ordered that if Plaintiff needs an interpreter to participate in the mediation program, Plaintiff will file a notice identifying the interpretation language and the need for the interpreter within 30 days from the date of this order.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of Court is further directed to add the Nevada

Department of Corrections to the docket as an Interested Party and electronically serve a copy of
this order, the screening order (ECF No. 5), and a copy of Plaintiff's first amended complaint
(ECF No. 6) on the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Nevada by adding the Attorney
General of the State of Nevada to the interested party on the docket. This does not indicate
acceptance of service.

It is further ordered that the Attorney General's Office will advise the Court within 21

1 days of the date of the entry of this order whether it will enter a limited notice of appearance on 2 behalf of Defendants for the purpose of settlement. No defenses or objections, including lack of service, will be waived as a result of the filing of the limited notice of appearance. DATED this 30th day of October, 2023. **UNITED STATES**

| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 2 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | |
| 3 | Karl W. Schenker, | Case No.: 3:23-cv-00094-RCJ-CLB | |
| 4 | Plaintiff | | |
| 5 | v. | REPORT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL RE RESULTS OF 90-DAY STAY | |
| 6 | C/O Garcia, et al., | | |
| 7 | Defendants | | |
| 8 | NOTE: ONLY THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL SHALL FILE THIS FORM. THE INMATE PLAINTIFF SHALL NOT FILE THIS FORM. | | |
| 9 | On[the date of the issu | ance of the screening order], the Court issued | |
| 10 | its screening order stating that it had conducted its screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, and | | |
| 11 | that certain specified claims in this case would proceed. The Court ordered the Office of the | | |
| 12 | Attorney General of the State of Nevada to file a report ninety (90) days after the date of the | | |
| 13 | entry of the Court's screening order to indicate the status of the case at the end of the 90-day | | |
| 14 | stay. By filing this form, the Office of the Attorney General hereby complies. | | |
| 15 | REPORT FORM | | |
| | [Identify which of the following two situations (identified in bold type) describes the case, and follow the instructions corresponding to the proper statement.] | | |
| 17 18 | Situation One: Mediated Case: The case was assigned to mediation by a court-appointed mediator during the 90-day stay. If this statement is accurate, check ONE of the six | | |
| 19 20 21 | A mediation session with a court-appear [enter date], and settlement (even if paperwork to ment completed). (If this box is checked, a SEPARATELY file either a contemporary file of the contemporary file settlement and settlement a contemporary file either either a contemporary file either either a contemporary file either | as of this date, the parties have reached a morialize the settlement remains to be the parties are on notice that they must praneous stipulation of dismissal or a motion he stay in the case until a specified date upon | |
| 2223 | | d as of this date, the parties have not reached rney General therefore informs the Court of | |

| | | 5.25-cv-00094-1\C5-CLB Document 14 Flied 10/30/25 Fage 0 01 0 | |
|------------------|--|---|--|
| 1 2 3 4 | | No mediation session with a court-appointed mediator was held during the 90-day stay, but the parties have nevertheless settled the case. (If this box is checked, the parties are on notice that they must SEPARATELY file a contemporaneous stipulation of dismissal or a motion requesting that the Court continue the stay in this case until a specified date upon which they will file a stipulation of dismissal.) No mediation session with a court-appointed mediator was held during the 90-day stay, but one is currently scheduled for [enter date]. | |
| 5 | | No mediation session with a court-appointed mediator was held during the 90-day stay, and as of this date, no date certain has been scheduled for such a session. | |
| 7 | | None of the above five statements describes the status of this case. Contemporaneously with the filing of this report, the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Nevada is filing a separate document detailing the status of this case. | |
| 8 | | **** | |
| 9 | Situation Two: Informal Settlement Discussions Case: The case was NOT assigned to mediation with a court-appointed mediator during the 90-day stay; rather, the parties were | | |
| | encouraged to engage in informal settlement negotiations. [If this statement is accurate, check ONE of the four statements below and fill in any additional information as required, then proceed to the signature block.] | | |
| 12 13 14 | | The parties engaged in settlement discussions and as of this date, the parties have reached a settlement (even if the paperwork to memorialize the settlement remains to be completed). (If this box is checked, the parties are on notice that they must SEPARATELY file either a contemporaneous stipulation of dismissal or a motion requesting that the Court continue the stay in this case until a specified date upon which they will file a stipulation of dismissal.) | |
| 15 | The parties engaged in settlement discussions and as of this date, the parties have not reached a settlement. The Office of the Attorney General therefore informs the Court of its intent to proceed with this action. | | |
| 16 17 | The parties have not engaged in settlement discussions and as of this date, the | | |
| 18 19 | | None of the above three statements fully describes the status of this case. Contemporaneously with the filing of this report, the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Nevada is filing a separate document detailing the status of this case. | |
| 20 | Submi | tted this,by: | |
| 21 | Attorney Nam | ne: Print Signature | |
| 22 | Address: | Phone: | |
| 23 | | Email: | |
| | | | |